IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA VECTREN COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, No. C 08-3137 SI Plaintiff, v. CITY OF ALAMEDA, Defendant. **SPECIAL VERDICT** We the jury in the above captioned action, find as follows on the questions submitted to us:

1	<u>Part 1</u> :	Vectren's <u>Breach of Contract</u> Claims against Alameda related to operation of the Telecom System:			
2		•			
3	A - R	<u>ates</u>			
4	A-1:	Did Vectren prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, all of the elements necessary to			
5		establish that Alameda breached the 2004 Installment Sale Agreement with respect to			
6		the rates it charged to customers?			
7		Yes No			
8 9	If you Other	answered "Yes" to Question A-1, please answer the next question. wise, please go to Question B-1.			
10	A-2:	Did Vectren know, or by the exercise of reasonable care should Vectren have known,			
11		before August 29, 2006, of all of the elements of this claim for breach?			
12		Yes No			
13 14	If you answered "No" to Question A-2, please answer the next question. Otherwise, please go to Question B-1.				
15	A-3:	Was Vectren harmed as a result of this breach?			
16		Yes No			
17	Please	e answer the next question.			
18	<u>B - St</u>	affing			
19 20	B-1:	Did Vectren prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, all of the elements necessary to			
21		establish that Alameda breached the 2004 Installment Sale Agreement with respect to			
22		the staffing of its operation of the Telecom System?			
23		Yes No			
24	If you	answered "Yes" to Question B-1, please answer the next question. wise, please go to Question C-1.			
25	Other	wise, please go to Question C-1.			
26					
27					
28		2			
		2			

1	B-2: I	Did Vectren know, or by the exercise of reasonable care should Vectren have known,
2	l t	pefore August 29, 2006, of all of the elements of this claim for breach?
3		Yes No
4	If you a Otherwi	nswered "No" to Question B-2, please answer the next question. se, please go to Question C-1.
5	B-3: V	Was Vectren harmed as a result of this breach?
6		Yes No
7 8	Please a	nswer the next question.
9	C. V.:	/T-l
10		<u>ce/Telephone</u>
11	C-1: I	Did Vectren prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, all of the elements necessary to
12	ϵ	establish that Alameda breached the 2004 Installment Sale Agreement with respect to
13	t	he addition of, or failure to add, voice or telephone service to the Telecom System?
14	7	Yes No
15		nswered "Yes" to Question C-1, please answer the next question. se, please go to Question D-1.
16	C-2: I	Did Vectren know, or by the exercise of reasonable care should Vectren have known,
17	l t	pefore August 29, 2006, of all of the elements of this claim for breach?
18	,	Yes No
19	11 3000	nswered "No" to Question C-2, please answer the next question.
20	Otherwi	se, please go to Question D-1.
21	C-3: V	Was Vectren harmed as a result of this breach?
22	`	Yes No
23		
24	D - Dan	<u>nages</u>
	D-1: I	If you answered "Yes" to any of the questions A-3, B-3 and/or C-3, please state the
25	8	amount of damages caused to Vectren by the breach or breaches you found:
26		<u> </u>
27		

1 2	<u>Part 2</u> :	Vectren's <u>Breach of Contract</u> Claims against Alameda related to allegedly improper accounting:
3	A:	Did Vectren prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, all of the elements necessary to
4		establish that Alameda breached the 2004 Installment Sale Agreement by improperly
5		accounting for the Net Series 2002A Revenues?
6		Yes No
7		a answered "Yes" to Question A, please answer the next question. wise, please go to Part 3.
8	B:	Did Vectren know, or by the exercise of reasonable care should Vectren have known,
9		before August 29, 2006, of all of the elements of this claim for breach?
10 11		Yes No
12	If you Other	a answered "No" to Question B, please answer the next question. wise, please go to Part 3.
13	C:	Was Vectren harmed as a result of this breach?
14		Yes No
15		
16	D:	If you answered "Yes" to question C, please state the amount of damages caused to
17		Vectren by this breach:
18		\$
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

<u>Part 3:</u>	Vectren's <u>Breach of Contract</u> Claims against Alameda related to allegedly improper sale of the Telecom System:	
A:	Did Vectren prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, all of the elements necessary to	
	establish that Alameda breached the 2004 Installment Sale Agreement by improperly	
	selling the Telecom System?	
	Yes No	
If you answered "Yes" to Question A, please answer the next question. Otherwise, please go to Part 4.		
B:	Did Vectren know, or by the exercise of reasonable care should Vectren have known,	
	before August 29, 2006, of all of the elements of this claim for breach?	
	Yes No	
If you	answered "No" to Question B, please answer the next question.	
	wise, please go to Part 4.	
C:	Was Vectren harmed as a result of this breach?	
	Yes No	
D:	If you answered "Yes" to question C, please state the amount of damages caused to	
	Vectren by this breach:	
	\$	
[Note: Please	state all damages you find based on this breach. If you have also found damages related	
to earlier brea	iches, do not be concerned about duplication of damages; the Court will assess the final	
damage figure	es after the verdict is returned.]	
	5	
	A: If you Other B: If you Other C: D:	

1						
1 2	Part 4: Alameda's affirmative defense of waiver:					
3	Did Alameda prove, by clear and convincing evidence, all of the elements necessary to establish					
4	that Vectren gave up or waived its right to have Alameda perform the following obligations under the					
5	2004 Installment Sale Agreement?					
6	Waiver of obligations re: rate covenant? Yes No	_				
7	Waiver of obligations re: staffing provisions? Yes No	_				
8	Waiver of obligations re: voice/telephone? Yes No	_				
9 10	Waiver of obligations re: sale of Telecom System? Yes No	_				
11						
12	Dated:					
13	Buted.					
14	FOREPERSON					
15	POREFERSON					
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28	6					